

§ 3402.14 Faculty vitae.

This section should include a Summary Vita, Form CSRS-708, for each faculty member contributing significantly to institutional competence at the level of graduate study for the national area addressed in the proposal. Applicants should arrange the faculty vitae with the project director(s) first, followed by the remaining faculty, in alphabetical order. Pagination for the faculty vitae should be continuous following the budget page and so indicated in the table of contents.

§ 3402.15 Appendix.

Any additional supporting information deemed essential for clarifying and/or strengthening the proposal should be included in an Appendix and referenced in the national need narrative. To the extent possible, applicants should present supporting information included in the Appendix in tabular form. Pagination for the Appendix should be continuous following the faculty vitae and so indicated in the table of contents.

Subpart D—Submission of a Proposal

§ 3402.16 Intent to submit a proposal.

To assist HEP in preparing for review of fellowship proposals, institutions planning to submit proposals for fellowships may be requested to complete and return an Intent to Submit a Proposal form (Form CSRS-706). When required, applicants should complete and return one form for each proposal they anticipate submitting. Sending this form does not commit an institution to any course of action. The program announcement published in the FEDERAL REGISTER will delineate if, when, and where the Intent to Submit a Proposal Forms should be sent.

§ 3402.17 Where to submit a proposal.

The program announcement published in the FEDERAL REGISTER will delineate the date for submission of proposals and the number of proposal copies required to apply for a grant. In addition, the program announcement will provide the address to which the proposal, its accompanying duplicate

copies, and the institution's latest graduate catalog should be mailed.

Subpart E—Proposal Review and Evaluation

§ 3402.18 Proposal review.

The proposal evaluation process includes both USDA internal staff review and merit evaluation by panels of scientists, educators, industrialists, and Government officials who are highly qualified to render expert advice in the targeted areas. The goal of the process of selection and structuring of evaluation panels is to provide optimum expertise and objective judgment in the evaluation of proposals specific to a particular area of national need.

§ 3402.19 Evaluation criteria.

Proposals addressing a particular national need area at a particular degree level will be evaluated in competition with other proposals addressing the same national need area at the same degree level. Both USDA internal staff and the panelists will evaluate proposals primarily on the basis of the following criteria:

Evaluation criterion	Weight
a. The degree to which the proposal establishes clearly that the proposed program of graduate study will result in the development of outstanding scientific/professional expertise related to the national need area and will do so in a reasonable period of time.	30 points.
b. The degree to which the proposal highlights thoroughly any special features such as an inter-disciplinary, multi-disciplinary, or cross-disciplinary approach, an unusual collateral specialization in a related discipline, experiential learning opportunities, unique mentoring programs, seminars, or a multi-university collaborative approach.	10 points.
c. The degree to which the proposal substantiates clearly that the institution's faculty, facilities and equipment, instructional support resources, and other academic attributes are excellent for providing outstanding graduate study and research at the forefront of science and technology related to the chosen area of national need.	20 points.
d. The degree to which the institution's plans and procedures for recruiting and selecting academically outstanding Fellows and for advising and guiding Fellows through a program of study reflect excellence as documented in the proposal.	20 points.
e. The degree to which supplementary summary data substantiate program quality in the targeted national need area.	10 points.